

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

20 March 2017

Minutes of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held at the Town Hall, Bexhill-on-Sea on Monday 20 March 2017 at 5:30pm.

Committee Members present: Councillors I.G.F. Jenkins (Chairman), C.J. Saint (Vice-Chairman), A.K. Azad, J. Barnes, Mrs M.L. Barnes, R.K. Bird, G.S. Browne, G.C. Curtis, S.H. Earl, Mrs D.C. Earl-Williams, R.V. Elliston, K.M. Field, Mrs B.A. George, T.W. Graham, B. Kentfield, P.N. Osborne (ex-officio), J. Potts, Mrs S.M. Prochak and G.F. Stevens.

Other Members present: Councillors I.R. Hollidge (in part), G.P. Johnson (in part), Mrs E.M. Kirby-Green and M.R. Watson.

Advisory Officers present: Executive Director of Business Operations, Executive Director of Resources, Service Manager – Finance and Welfare, Service Manager – Environmental Health and Licensing (in part), Service Manager – Corporate and Human Resources, Service Manager – Community and Economy and Democratic Services Officer.

Also present: Chief Inspector Steve Curry (in part) and Inspector Dan Russell (in part) – Sussex Police and Rother and Hastings Community Safety Partnership and 3 members of the public.

Prior to the formal commencement of the meeting, the Chairman advised Members of the fatal tragic accident which had happened in Battle High Street the day before. The Committee offered their sincere condolences and deepest sympathy to the family and friends of the victim.

OSC16/45. **MINUTES**

The Chairman was authorised to sign the minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 30 January 2017 as a correct record of the proceedings.

OSC16/46. **APOLOGIES**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors J.J. Carroll and S.D. Elford.

The Chairman announced that due to the representative from Hastings Borough Council being unable to attend the meeting, Agenda Item 6.4 Fuel Poverty would be deferred and reported at the next meeting scheduled to be held on 24 April 2017.

The Chairman welcomed to the meeting Chief Inspector Steve Curry and Inspector Dan Russell of Sussex Police and the Rother and Hastings Community Safety Partnership (RHCSPP) who provided an update on the activities which RHCSPP had carried out over the past year. Councillor Mrs Kirby-Green, the Council's nominated representative on RHCSPP was also in attendance.

During the presentation the following key points were noted:

- during 2014/15, Sussex Police had updated their recorded crime procedures in-line with national standards (Home Office Counting Rules), which changed the way some crimes were counted. As a result an increase in reported crime numbers was realised across the country;
- overall crime was considered low in Sussex, however Members noted the rise in domestic abuse numbers which was attributed to the RHCSPP/Sussex Police encouragement to report these types of incident;
- the RHCSPP was monitoring all crimes to identify preventative action to be taken;
- key initiatives delivered during 2015/16 to reduce crime included: housing options for offenders in rehabilitation, videos for young people and white ribbon campaigns, victim domestic abuse support, rough sleeper/street community assistance, joint working with partner agencies to prevent anti-social behaviour, mobile CCTV monitoring, road safety initiatives across Rother and Hastings, assistance to Street/Response Pastors, security alarms, drink driving and drug taking;
- priorities for 2016/17 would be to deal with: anti-social behaviour and hate crime, road safety, reducing offending and re-offending, historical and emerging crimes that further impacted on the community e.g. cyber and scams etc., and violent crime including violence within a domestic setting;
- priority would also be given to tackle organised crime including the supply of Class A (heroin and crack cocaine) drugs, as well as safeguarding children from sexual exploitation;
- under the Local Policing Programme, Sussex Police had re-modelled its policing districts to form two districts consisting of Eastbourne, Lewes and Wealden, and Hastings and Rother. Assurance had been given that the combined forces would not reduce response;
- the three main policing objectives were 'Threat', 'Harm' and 'Risk';
- the joint RHCSPP commenced in April 2016 for a trial 6-month period. As a result, the partnership provided a more streamlined approach to collaborative working. Benefits of working together to reduce crime and anti-social behaviour had been realised, as well as providing economies of scale;
- RHCSPP continued to deliver against local priorities, financial resources and monthly Joint Action Group operational meetings were held to specifically deal with Rother's priorities; and

- the office of the Police and Crime Commissioner would continue to provide funding for the RHCSP for 2017/18.

Members had an opportunity to put forward questions and the following comments were raised/discussed:

- Members sought clarity on the infiltration of Class A drugs in the district's schools and how this would be tackled. Members were advised that this was a national, as well as cross-county issue. Improvements in partnership working and technology were helping to combat these crimes;
- Members sought clarity regarding the proposed closure of Police Stations across the district. It was considered imperative that the larger towns, in particular, within the district had a constant Police presence and that the public had a station or area they could go to in an emergency situation where they could feel safe. Sussex Police wanted to maintain a footprint in the community and all options would be examined in the future;
- cyber-crime was increasing and reassurance was sought regarding preventative measures. Sussex Police developed a 'ground breaking' campaign called 'Operation Signature' which identified and supported victims of fraud within Sussex. Awareness of these crimes would be raised through Neighbourhood Policing Teams and the media, encouraging people to take preventative steps. Community intelligence was also key to assisting the Police;
- Members were concerned with the continuous reduction of funding to Sussex Police, as well as the reduction of and changing roles of Police Community Support Officers.
- a multi-partner approach assisted Sussex Police in tackling crime across the district.

Councillor Mrs Kirby-Green advised that the joint RHCSP was working successfully and delivered interventions to deal with crime and anti-social behaviour and raised awareness of domestic abuse and child sexual exploitation in Rother. With the reduction of funding, RHCSP would need to continue to review the Partnerships' priorities and work practices over the coming years.

The Chairman thanked Chief Inspector Steve Curry and Inspector Dan Russell for their update and praised the outstanding partnership working that was taking place.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

(Councillor J. Barnes declared a personal interest in this matter in so far as he is East Sussex County Council's appointed representative on the Rother and Hastings Community Safety Partnership and in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct remained in the room during consideration thereof).

OSC16/48. **PERFORMANCE PROGRESS REPORT: THIRD QUARTER 2016/17** (6.1)

Consideration was given to the report of the Executive Director of Business Operations on the Third Quarter 2016/17 Performance

Progress Report. Members were given the opportunity to scrutinise progress of a basket of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) previously selected for monitoring.

The Service Manager – Community and Economy advised that there were 25 KPIs reported for the third quarter. Fifteen met or exceeded target, two were just off target, and eight were currently not on target. These were: Telephone Calls Answered by the Contact Centre; Changes to Housing Benefit Claims on Time; Housing Benefit Claims on Time – All Information Received; Community Infrastructure Levy receipts received by the Council; Percentage Change to all Crime; Use of Temporary Accommodation; Detritus in Public Areas; and Fly Posting in Public Areas. The report also contained the Council's five year housing land supply information.

During discussion the following was noted:

Telephone Calls Answered by the Contact Centre: The number of calls answered by Customer Services staff in the Contact Centre. Quarter forecast was 22,475, result was 16,909 (higher was better). Members noted that November and December were historically the quietest months of the financial year which was why telephone contact reduced. Fewer waste and recycling calls were made due to improved performance and reports being made on-line rather than by phone. In addition, calls received were now taking more time to answer due to in-depth enquiries relating to housing benefit due to delays in processing.

Concerns were raised regarding the response times for answering telephone calls and the difficulties experienced by some residents who had been unable to get through by telephone. To assist with alleviating the amount of telephone calls received, the Executive Director of Resources advised that an on-line web-chat system on the Council's popular pages of the website had been established and that customers were being encouraged to communicate via the Council's on-line facilities. A wide ranging piece of work was about to commence and it would likely include issues such as a review of the Council's correspondence, where plain English and simplified information would be considered. However, it was noted that the Council was legally obliged to provide customers with all relevant information. The Committee requested statistical data on the number of calls that were not answered. The Executive Director of Resources agreed to disseminate this information to Members after the meeting.

Changes to Housing Benefit Claims on Time: The average number of calendar days taken to process a submitted change to an existing housing benefit claim from the date of submission to the date of the decision. Quarter forecast was 20, result was 31.12 (lower was better).

Housing Benefit Claims on Time – All Information Received: The average number of calendar days it takes to process all housing benefit claims, both new and changes to existing claims, from the date that the service receives all the information it needs to make a decision until the date the decision is made. Quarter forecast was 15.67, result was 21.72 (lower was better).

The Service Manager – Finance and Welfare reported that worse processing times (for both changes to housing benefit claims and housing benefit claims on time) were expected as the backlog was being cleared. Capita was now working on post from September to December 2016 and the in-house team were working on January 2017 onwards.

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL): Receipts Received by the Council: The annual target was £300,000; the Council had received £33,000. It was not anticipated that the Council would receive any significant payments during the first year.

Percentage Change in All Recorded Crime: The percentage of change in the number of crimes reported to the Police. Quarter forecast was 0, result was 7.1% (lower was better). It was noted that Rother had the lowest rate in reports of total crime in East Sussex and was below the Sussex Police force rate of 8.9%. It had been identified that there were a number of violent crime incidents particularly patient on patient and patient on carer violence in two key mental health establishments. Partnership engagement with these establishments to review policies and processes had taken place to address any issues. Work had also been carried out on reducing violence between young people travelling from Hastings, motorbike thefts, burglary other than dwelling incidents and shoplifting.

Use of Temporary Accommodation: The measurement of how many households were placed in temporary accommodation when counted on the last day of the quarter. Quarter forecast was 18, result was 29 (lower was better). Members noted that the use of temporary accommodation continued to increase. The impact of the roll out of Universal Credit continued to be assessed. The Service Manager – Finance and Welfare advised that the supply of accommodation was limited, with less property available through Housing Associations. It was essential that the Council worked with all relevant agencies to support people in housing need. The Committee requested that a report on homelessness and temporary accommodation be reported at a future meeting.

Detritus on Public Land: Performance had not met the 8% target (currently 11%). Poor performance of detritus was being managed locally.

Fly Posting on Public Land: Result was 1.2%, however the average recorded was 1%. It was noted that local variations would be dealt with through local resources rather than at joint waste level.

Assurance was given that all KPIs currently not on target would be continuously monitored.

The Committee also noted the KPIs that were achieving or exceeding target and particularly mentioned the increase in subscribers and success of the Council's 'My Alerts' system, as well as the improved recycling rates.

RESOLVED: That:

- 1) the report be noted; and
- 2) a report on homelessness and temporary accommodation be reported at a future meeting.

OSC16/49. **CIVIL PARKING ENFORCEMENT UPDATE**
(6.2)

The Committee considered the report of the Executive Director of Business Operations which provided an update on Civil Parking Enforcement (CPE).

In November 2016, the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (OSC) had recommended that East Sussex County Council (ESCC,) in partnership with Rother District Council (RDC), draft a business case to show the implications of CPE.

The business case would comprise: an initial review of the main parking concerns; an outline of the scope of the work required for a civil parking application; outline proposals to manage CPE; estimated set up and running costs; the level of charging and/or enforcement that would be required to offset or underwrite these costs; an initial draft outline or a proposed CPE scheme for the District; and a timeline for the implementation of a CPE scheme, if Members were mindful to support the scheme.

The work had commenced and RDC officers were assisting ESCC by providing information which detailed traffic problems around the district. To further assist with this work, Members had been requested to prepare an overview assessment of known parking issues in their wards. This information would be incorporated in the information sent to ESCC and would be used to correlate and collaborate information gathered by officers and Highways Stewards.

Members noted that the work was an overview of parking issues and any progress of CPE would require formal consultation with residents and Parish and Town Councils.

It was anticipated that a joint business plan would be presented to the OSC meeting scheduled to be held on 24 July 2017.

A general discussion ensued on the advantages and disadvantages of introducing CPE to the district, as well as current issues experienced. Members agreed that it was a complex issue which required significant investment by ESCC. Therefore a detailed business plan containing the existing issues highlighted by Members within their Wards would be essential. The Chairman encouraged all Members to complete and return their Ward maps by 31 March 2017 to Democratic Services.

RESOLVED: That the updated report be noted and all Members be encouraged to complete and return the maps by 31 March 2017 to Democratic Services.

(Councillor J. Barnes declared a personal interest in this matter in so far as he is a Member of East Sussex County Council and in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct remained in the room during consideration thereof).

(Councillor Earl declared a personal interest in this matter in so far as he is a Member of East Sussex County Council and in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct remained in the room during consideration thereof).

OSC16/50. **COMMUNITY WARDEN AND PEST CONTROL SERVICE**
(6.3)

The report of the Executive Director of Business Operations on the Pest Control Service for Rother District Council (RDC) and Wealden District Council (WDC) provided an update and recommendations on future service provision.

The Council had a legal duty to keep the district free from rats and mice under the Prevention of Damage by Pest Act 1949 and there were a number of options available to the Council to provide the service including the use of contractors.

Currently two Pest Control Officers were employed to work across RDC and WDC and, in addition to day-to-day pest control for residents and businesses, officers carried out pest control in the Councils' properties and land. The service also provided telephone advice, dog fouling prevention and acted as couriers for samples in food poisoning investigations.

Due to staff sickness and a subsequent staff vacancy, the service had not been running at full capacity for most of 2016 therefore income had been reduced, however, the remaining officer had continued to provide a good service across both districts.

Details were provided on the number of treatments and current charges. It was noted that the number of rat treatments had decreased due to a change in practice to minimise the amount of biocide used, only treating rats outside if absolutely necessary and providing advice to the resident on rat control i.e. minimising their food sources etc.

Three options were detailed in the report, these were:

Option 1: Cease providing the Service – although local authorities were legally required to keep their district free from rats and mice, it did not mean that they had to provide the service. There were a number of private businesses who offered the service; the Council would direct their residents to use this service. However, it was possible that this option could result in the Council taking a greater enforcement role and the costs of doing so were difficult to quantify and recover.

If RDC did not provide a rat control service then particularly Bexhill, Battle, Rye and other towns in the district could experience an increase in rat population which could become visible. Rats were particularly

difficult to control in medieval, Victorian and Edwardian towns due to alleyways, railway lines and watercourses.

Option 2: Contract Out – the service would be contracted to a private contractor if the tendered amount was less than the current cost. Mid-Sussex District Council had recently entered into a contract which was at neutral cost to the council. However outsourcing would require the service to be monitored to ensure contract compliance and it was noted that monitoring for pest control compliance was becoming increasingly difficult and time consuming. Details of the proposed charges for a contractor were appended to the report for Members' consideration.

There were a number of considerations with this option which needed to be made including: whether the Pest Control Service was considered a core service by the Council and the process of any transfer of staff, which would be subject to TUPE regulations after staff consultation and consideration by the Licensing & General Purposes Committee.

Option 3: To reduce the number of Pest Control Officer posts to 1.5fte with the 0.5fte directed to that of a Community Warden – Reducing the post would enable the 0.5fte of the officer's time to be devoted to duties of a Community Warden. The officer would be expected to be flexible in their hours of work each week devoted to pest control or community warden duties dependant on seasonal demands.

The Council had wide responsibilities under the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 to deal with a wide range of anti-social behaviour through the use of Community Protection Notices and Public Spaces Protection Orders. As well as dog control measures, controls could be made on street drinking and sleeping overnight in shelters and vehicles. Persons failing to comply can be issued with a Fixed Penalty Notice and the income was retained by the Council. Members recognised that this would improve the Council's enforcement capabilities.

The role of the Police Community Support Officer had changed which meant that they were sometimes unavailable to respond to low level anti-social behaviour. A part-time Community Warden would therefore be able to respond to those relatively minor matters that impacted on the public realm and the quality of life for residents.

As one pest control position was currently vacant there was an opportunity to change the job description to create a dual role of Pest Control and Community Warden for Rother only.

Should options 1 and 2 be progressed the disadvantages would be the loss the staff expertise and facilities within the organisation which would make it difficult to bring the service back in-house if necessary.

Following discussion, the Committee proposed that Option 3 be pursued for a two-year trial period until March 2019 and proposed that both Pest Control Officers be trained as Community Wardens.

Members noted that WDC would need to be consulted on and agree to any changes in the service provision.

RESOLVED: That Cabinet be requested to approve that Option 3 be pursued for a two-year trial period until March 2019 and that both Pest Control Officers be trained as Community Wardens; this would be subject to Wealden District Council's agreement.

OSC16/51. **REVENUE BUDGET AND CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITORING**
(7.1) **TO DECEMBER 2016**

Members received and considered the report of the Executive Director of Resources on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme Monitoring to December 2016 which had been presented to Cabinet on 13 February 2017. The report detailed the significant variations of the Revenue Budget and updated Capital Programme (Appendices A and B). Since the last report, there had been £82,000 of reportable variations or changes to the Revenue Budget.

Overall it was expected there would be a surplus of approximately £1.1m which would be credited to earmarked reserves. This was attributed to underspends/savings on staff turnover/vacancies, waste contract and additional income from garden waste customers, reduced printing, shared legal service with Wealden District Council, benefits administration, reduction in the cost of computer software licences and planning application fees. An additional £35,000 of income was also expected to be received from the investment in the Local Authorities Property Fund. The total investment earnings were projected to be £170,000. Due to uncertainty over the impact of national revaluation of business rates from April 2017 and retention, it was noted that any surplus business rate income would be transferred to the Business Rate Equalisation Reserve at year end.

The council tax collection rate as at 31 December 2016 was comparable to previous years and the total collectable was currently above the original estimate. The Council Tax element of the Collection Fund was expected to be in surplus at year end.

Since April 2015, the Council had been part of the East Sussex Business Rate Pool, which meant that Rother shared in the financial benefits of growth in business rates and/or improved collection performance across all East Sussex district and borough councils. Conversely, the Council now shared the financial impact associated with any loss of business rate income through either poor collection performance or an actual decline in the rateable value of business premises in East Sussex. The performance to the quarter ending 30 December demonstrated that Rother would retain £170,000 of income; this income would be ring fenced for regeneration activities.

Capital expenditure to the end of December was just under £2,340,000 against a total approved programme of £5.218m. Members were advised that the first phase of the Fairlight Coastal Protection Scheme was near completion and it was confirmed that work continued to resolve the outstanding issues regarding the former Bexhill High

School site land swap with East Sussex County Council, with completion not expected by end of the financial year. Actual spend on Disabled Facilities Grants (DFG) was £700,000 and it was expected that by year end, expenditure would be in line with the approved budget of £1.314m. The IT essential maintenance programme was due to be completed by year end with further investment required for the Rother 2020 plan and, in particular, improvements to the Council's website and customer relationship management system.

Members noted that the Council was expected to be in surplus by the year end on its Revenue Budget.

RESOVLED: That the report be noted.

OSC16/52. **OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RE-STRUCTURE**
(7.2)

The report of the Executive Director of Resources detailed proposals to reconsider the working arrangements of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (OSC).

In May 2014, as part of a wider set of changes to the decision making structure, the two former Resources and Services OSCs were merged to make the current 20 Member strong OSC. After suggestions received following Members scrutiny training events and comments from South East Employer's during the reaccreditation process for the Charter for Elected Member Development meant that it was appropriate to review its current composition and operation with a view to enhancing the Scrutiny function.

Committee size: When the Committee was established in May 2014, it had been considered that a dedicated 20 Members would increase the resilience of the Committee and provide more Members with an increased opportunity to participate in formal OSC meetings. However, feedback showed that the current size was too unwieldy, not conducive to focused scrutiny work and debate and potentially intimidating to visitors and Members. It was therefore recommended that the OSC be reduced from 20 Members to 12 – this number would ensure that each political group would be represented.

Frequency of Meetings: In 2015, the number of meetings was also increased from six to eight which recognised the increase in work from the combined committees. However, the increased workload had not been borne, particularly from the Resources side, which was also set to be reduced further with a smaller select set of KPIs. It was noted that the increased number of meetings had also affected Members' ability to attend the meetings with an average of two or three apologies at each meeting. It was therefore recommended that the number of scheduled meetings be reduced from eight to six.

Time of Meetings: Members gave consideration to the start time of the meeting. They were mindful that the strategy to set later meeting start times to attract working age Members had not had the desired effect. An earlier start time could possibly provide a number of benefits such as better productivity, modest savings in terms of town hall facilities

staff and heating costs and the possibility of greater attendance of invited guests, particularly in the winter months. It was therefore recommended that the Committee gave consideration to an earlier start time of 10am or 2pm.

Task and Finish Groups: It was recognised that small focused Task and Finish Groups worked well in a non-partisan way and led to better informed scrutiny and recommendations. These were useful groups which contributed to the scrutiny process. To ensure that these were as efficient as possible a number of recommendations were made. These were: for ease and clarity, that all working groups/parties/steering groups established would be known as Task and Finish Groups; that, in accordance with current practice, the Chairman of the OSC could not be elected Chairman of a Task and Finish Group; that Group Leaders be permitted to appoint substitute Members, when a substantive Member was unable to attend a meeting; and that the current caveat regarding the number of active groups permitted at any one time (four) be maintained.

Members gave consideration to the proposals and the following points were noted during the debate:

- a reduction in Committee Members could enhance the scrutiny function, however some concern was expressed that Members would be excluded from debate or discussion and would effectively become observers only;
- morning or afternoon meetings were supported, however it was noted this could disadvantage working Members;
- eight meetings per year was considered appropriate; and
- it was important that the Council's scrutiny function was effective and viewed as a resource to Cabinet and could influence and contribute to the decision making process.

An additional recommendation was proposed by Councillor Mrs Prochak and seconded by Councillor Field that the Chairman of the OSC be from one of the minority groups and not the ruling group. This additional recommendation was not supported.

RESOLVED: That Cabinet recommend to Council with effect from the new civic year that:

- 1) the size of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee be reduced from 20 to 12 Members;
- 2) the number of scheduled meetings be retained at eight per annum;
- 3) that the meetings be held during the daytime and the start time be determined by full Council;
- 4) all working group/parties/steering groups established from now on be known as "Task and Finish Groups";
- 5) that in accordance with current practice, the chairman of the parent Overview and Scrutiny Committee cannot be elected Chairman of any Task and Finish Group; and

- 6) Group Leaders be permitted to appoint substitute members when substantive members are unable to attend Task and Finish Group meetings on an ad-hoc basis.

OSC16/53. **WORK PROGRAMME**
(8)

Consideration was given to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee's Work Programme and the following points were noted.

- a report on Fuel Poverty would be reported at the meeting scheduled to be held on 24 April 2017;
- Councillor Clark would submit an additional disability report regarding the disability access item scheduled to be presented at the meeting on 24 April 2017; and
- reports on Tourist information and Civil Parking Enforcement would be reported at the meeting scheduled to be held on 24 July 2017.

Members were reminded that the Annual Scrutiny Work Programme meeting was scheduled to be held on Monday 22 May 2017 at 10:00am in the Council Chamber.

RESOLVED: That the Work Programme, as attached at Appendix A, be agreed.

CHAIRMAN

The meeting closed at 8:00pm

OSC170320lec/jh

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

WORK PROGRAMME 2016 – 2017	
DATE OF MEETING	SUBJECT – MAIN ITEM (Capitalised)
24.04.17	DISABILITY ACCESS ISSUES <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Call-in and Urgency Procedures • Community Governance Review for Bexhill • Draft Annual Report to Council • Fuel Poverty • High Speed Broadband Update • Revenue Budget and Capital Programme reference from Cabinet, if any

WORK PROGRAMME 2017 – 2018	
DATE OF MEETING	SUBJECT – MAIN ITEM (Capitalised)
12.06.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Work Programme • Community Governance Review for Bexhill • Performance Progress Report: Year End and Fourth Quarter 2016-2017
24.07.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Civil Parking Enforcement • Tourist Information
11.09.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance Progress Report: First Quarter 2017-2018 • Revenue Budget and Capital Programme Monitoring to July 2017
16.10.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community Governance Review • Medium Term Financial Strategy 2018/19 to 2022/23
27.11.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance Progress Report: Second Quarter 2017-2018 • Revenue Budget and Capital Programme Monitoring to September 2017
29.01.18	DRAFT REVENUE BUDGET PROPOSALS 2018-2019 KEY PERFORMANCE TARGETS 2018-2019
19.03.18	CRIME AND DISORDER COMMITTEE: TO RECEIVE AN REPORT FROM THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance Progress Report: Third Quarter 2017-2018 • Revenue Budget and Capital Programme Monitoring to January 2018
23.04.18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Call-in and Urgency Procedures • Draft Annual Report to Council

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asset Programme Update [Minute CB16/58 – 5 December 2016] • Attracting businesses/commercial activities and tourism to the district [Minute OSC16/42 & 44 – 30 January 2017] • Bexhill Hive [Minute CB16/16 – 4 July 2016] • Cemetery Provision/Charging System [Minute CB16/58 – 5 December 2016] • Emergency Motions at Council Meetings [Minute C16/24 – 11 July 2016] • Executive Priorities for 2016/17 and performance of 2015/16 (Leader of the Council)

- Homelessness and Temporary Accommodation [Minute OSC16/48 – 20 March 2017]
- Leisure and Recreational Areas: Commercial Fitness Operator's Registration Scheme [Minute OSC16/32 – 28 November 2016]
- Locate East Sussex [Minute CB16/56 – 5 December 2016]
- Rother Public Realm Strategic Framework progress [Minute OSC16/25 – 17 October 2016]
- Tourism (impact of second homes) [Minute OSC16/07 – 13 June 2016]