

Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Rother District Council
Year ending 31 March 2020

March 2020



Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	3
Progress at March 2020	4
Audit Deliverables	5
Sector Update	6

Introduction



Darren Wells

Engagement Lead

T 01293 554120
M 07880 456152
E darren.j.wells@uk.gt.com



Trevor Greenlee

Engagement Manager

T 01293 554071
M 07880 456148
E trevor.greenlee@uk.gt.com

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a Council.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications www.grantthornton.co.uk.

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Progress at March 2020

2018/19 Housing Benefit Subsidy claim

Certain claims and returns submitted by local authorities require work by a Reporting Accountant to help confirm the authority's entitlement to funding. We completed our work as Reporting Accountant on the Council's 2018/19 housing benefit subsidy claim in November 2019. The Council's 2018/19 housing benefit subsidy claim was for £20.4 million.

Our work was performed under a framework for Reporting Accountants issued by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) in accordance with the International Standard on Related Services (ISRS) 4400 "Engagements to perform agreed-upon-procedures regarding financial information". Our engagement required us to complete the work specified under the Housing Benefit (Subsidy) Assurance Process (HBAP).

The HBAP framework requires sample testing of benefit claims to confirm benefit has been awarded in accordance with regulations and correctly recorded for subsidy purposes. Where errors are identified then additional testing is performed. If an adjustment is required and the impact of the error can be quantified exactly then the claim is amended, otherwise the impact is extrapolated and reported to DWP.

Our work on the 2018/19 claim identified a number of errors which required additional testing under the HBAP framework. However, the nature of the errors and the outcomes from testing were such that there was no impact on subsidy. There were no amendments to the 2018/19 claim and no issues which required us to report extrapolations to DWP.

2019/20 Audit

Audit planning

Our 2019/20 audit plan is included as a separate item on today's agenda.

We will continue to:

- hold discussions with management to inform our risk assessment;
- review minutes and papers from key meetings; and
- review relevant sector information to ensure that we capture any emerging issues and consider these as part of our audit.

Interim audit

Our interim audit work, including early substantive testing, will commence in March 2020.

Audit Deliverables

2019/20 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
<p>Fee Letter</p> <p>Our fee letter confirms the audit fee for 2019/20.</p>	April 2019	Complete
<p>Accounts Audit Plan</p> <p>We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2019-20 financial statements.</p>	March 2020	Included as a separate item on today's agenda
<p>Audit Findings Report</p> <p>The Audit Findings Report summarises the outcomes from our work on the financial statements and to support our value for money conclusion.</p>	July 2020	Not yet due
<p>Auditors Report</p> <p>This is the opinion on your financial statements, annual governance statement and value for money conclusion.</p>	July 2020	Not yet due
<p>Annual Audit Letter</p> <p>The annual audit letter communicates the key issues arising from our 2019/20 work.</p>	September 2020	Not yet due

Sector Update

Councils are tackling a continuing drive to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider NHS and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

- **Grant Thornton Publications**
- **Insights from local government sector specialists**
- **Reports of interest**
- **Accounting and regulatory updates**

More information can be found on our dedicated local government section on the Grant Thornton website at <https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/industries/public-sector/local-government/>

Brydon Review – the quality & effectiveness of audit

The Brydon review is an independent review, led by Sir Donald Brydon, which has looked at the quality and effectiveness of audit, seeking to make proposals that will improve the UK audit ‘product’. The review has examined the nature and scope of audit from a user perspective and seeks to clarify and potentially close the ‘expectation gap’ (ie what stakeholders and society expect from audit compared to what it delivers today).

A full list of Sir Donald’s recommendations can be found online, and a brief summary is provided below:

- Redefinition of audit and its purpose
- Creation of a corporate auditing profession, governed by principles
- Introduction of suspicion into the qualities of auditing
- Extension of the concept of auditing to areas beyond financial statements
- Mechanisms to encourage greater engagement of shareholders with audit and auditors
- Change in language of the opinion given by auditors
- Introduction of a corporate Audit and Assurance Policy, a Resilience Statement and a Public Interest Statement
- Suggestions to inform the work of BEIS on internal controls and improve clarity on capital maintenance
- Greater clarity around the roles of the audit committee
- A package of measures around fraud detection and prevention
- Improved auditor communication and transparency
- Obligations to acknowledge external signals of concern
- Extension of audit to new areas including Alternative Performance Measures
- Increased use of technology

On the auditor’s responsibility to detect fraud, Jonathan Riley, Grant Thornton Head of Quality and Reputation, said: “We are pleased to note that Sir Donald Brydon makes it clear that not only is there an expectation gap in relation to the purpose of audit and the detection of fraud but that the current ISAs need revision, and training of corporate auditors need to be enhanced, in order to allow auditors to better detect fraud. This is further reinforced by the new ability to make it easier for users of accounts, not just management, to inform the auditor of concerns relating to financial statements.”

“Notwithstanding these proposals, it is neither possible or desirable for an auditor to test in detail every transaction of the company and so materiality will still exist. In addition, a fraud involving collusion and sophistication may still prove extremely hard to detect.”

Grant Thornton welcomes the consideration given by Sir Donald on the quality and effectiveness of audit. These recommendations should bring far greater clarity and transparency to the profession and ultimately result in an audit regime that allows auditors to better assess, assure and inform all users of financial accounts.

Crucially, the Government must now consider these recommendations not just in context of earlier inquiries into the profession, but also against the backdrop of global trade and Britain’s future role as a pillar of global commerce. The report places new obligations not only on auditors, but also on company directors. Together with other regulations such as the revised Ethical Standard and wider corporate governance requirements, the proposed changes need to strike the right balance and not dent our place on the world’s financial stage. Careful explanation particularly of what this means to those fast growing mid-sized public entities seeking capital will be necessary.

The public perception of audit remains weak and failures continue to happen, so we agree that now is the right time to explore what needs to change to ensure that audit is fit for modern day business and meets the public interest. The report should contribute heavily towards this outcome.

Link to the full report and full list of recommendations:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-quality-and-effectiveness-of-audit-independent-review>

MHCLG – Independent probe into local government audit

In July, the then Communities secretary, James Brokenshire, announced the government is to examine local authority financial reporting and auditing.

At the CIPFA conference he told delegates the independent review will be headed up by Sir Tony Redmond, a former CIPFA president.

The government was “working towards improving its approach to local government oversight and support”, Brokenshire promised.

“A robust local audit system is absolutely pivotal to work on oversight, not just because it reinforces confidence in financial reporting but because it reinforces service delivery and, ultimately, our faith in local democracy,” he said.

“There are potentially far-reaching consequences when audits aren’t carried out properly and fail to detect significant problems.”

The review will look at the quality of local authority audits and whether they are highlighting when an organisation is in financial trouble early enough.

It will also look at whether the public has lost faith in auditors and whether the current audit arrangements for councils are still “fit for purpose”.

On the appointment of Redmond, CIPFA chief executive Rob Whiteman said: “Tony Redmond is uniquely placed to lead this vital review, which will be critical for determining future regulatory requirements.

“Local audit is crucial in providing assurance and accountability to the public, while helping to prevent financial and governance failure.”

He added: “This work will allow us to identify what is needed to make local audit as robust as possible, and how the audit function can meet the assurance needs, both now and in the future, of the sector as a whole.”



In the question and answer session following his speech, Brokenshire said he was not looking to bring back the Audit Commission, which appointed auditors to local bodies and was abolished in 2015. MHCLG note that auditing of local authorities was then taken over by the private, voluntary and not-for-profit sectors.

He explained he was “open minded”, but believed the Audit Commission was “of its time”.

Local authorities in England are responsible for 22% of total UK public sector expenditure so their accounts “must be of the highest level of transparency and quality”, the Ministry of Housing, Local Government and Communities said. The review will also look at how local authorities publish their annual accounts and if the financial reporting system is robust enough.

Redmond, who has also been a local authority treasurer and chief executive, was expected to report to the communities secretary with his initial recommendations in December 2019, with a final report published in March 2020. Redmond has also worked as a local government boundary commissioner and held the post of local government ombudsman.

The terms of reference focus on whether there is an “expectation gap” between the purpose of external audit and what it is currently delivering. It will examine the performance of local authority audit, judged according to the criteria of economy, effectiveness and efficiency.

Other key areas of the review include whether:

- 1) audit recommendations are effective in helping councils to improve financial management
- 2) auditors are using their reporting powers appropriately
- 3) councils are responding to auditors appropriately
- 4) Financial savings from local audit reforms have been realised
- 5) There has been an increase in audit providers
- 6) Auditors are properly responding to questions or objections by local taxpayers
- 7) Council accounts report financial performance in a way that is transparent and open to local press scrutiny

Redmond Review – Review of local authority financial reporting and external audit

The independent review led by Sir Tony Redmond sought views on the quality of local authority financial reporting and external audit. The consultation ran from 17 September 2019 to 20 December 2019.

Grant Thornton provided a comprehensive submission. We believe that local authority financial reporting and audit is at a crossroads. Recent years have seen major changes. More complex accounting, earlier financial close and lower fees have placed pressure on authorities and auditors alike. The target sign-off date for audited financial statements of 31 July has created a significant peak of workload for auditors. It has made it impossible to retain specialist teams throughout the year. It has also impacted on individual auditors' well-being, making certain roles difficult to recruit to, especially in remote parts of the country.

Meanwhile, the focus on Value for Money, in its true sense, and on protecting the interests of citizens as taxpayers and users of services are in danger of falling by the wayside. The use of a black and white 'conclusion' has encouraged a mechanistic and tick box approach, with auditors more focused on avoiding criticism from the regulator than on producing Value for Money reports that are of value to local people.

In this environment, persuading talented people to remain in the local audit market is difficult. Many of our promising newly qualified staff and Audit Managers have left the firm to pursue careers elsewhere, often outside the public sector, and almost never to pursue public audit at other firms. Grant Thornton is now the only firm which supports qualification through CIPFA. It is no longer clear where the next generation of local auditors will come from.

We believe that now is the time to reframe both local authority financial reporting and local audit. Specifically, we believe that there is a need for:

- More clearly established system leadership for local audit;
- Simplified local authority financial reporting, particularly in the areas of capital accounting and pensions;

- Investing in improving the quality of financial reporting by local bodies;
- A realistic timescale for audit reporting, with opinion sign off by September each year, rather than July;
- An increase in audit fees to appropriate levels that reflect current levels of complexity and regulatory focus;
- A more tailored and proportional approach to local audit regulation, implementing the Kingman recommendations in full;
- Ensuring that Value for Money audit work has a more impactful scope, as part of the current NAO Code of Audit Practice refresh;
- Introducing urgent reforms which help ensure future audit arrangements are sustainable and attractive to future generations of local audit professionals.

We note that Sir Donald Brydon, in his review published this week, has recommended that *“the Audit, Reporting and Governance Authority (ARGA) (the proposed new regulatory body) should facilitate the establishment of a corporate auditing profession based on a core set of principles. (This should include but not be limited to) the statutory audit of financial statements.”* Recognising the unique nature of public audit, and the special importance of stewardship of public money, we also recommend that a similar profession be established for local audit. This should be overseen by a new public sector regulator.

As the reviews by John Kingman, Sir Donald Brydon, and the CMA have made clear, the market, politicians and the media believe that, in the corporate world, both the transparency of financial reporting and audit quality needs to be improved. Audit fees have fallen too low, and auditors are not perceived to be addressing the key things which matter to stakeholders, including a greater focus on future financial stability. The local audit sector shares many of the challenges facing company audit. All of us in this sector need to be seen to be stepping up to the challenge. This Review presents a unique opportunity to change course, and to help secure the future of local audit, along with meaningful financial reporting.

.”

National Audit Office – Code of Audit Practice

The Code of Audit Practice sets out what local auditors of relevant local public bodies are required to do to fulfil their statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. 'Relevant authorities' are set out in Schedule 2 of the Act and include local councils, fire authorities, police and NHS bodies.

Local auditors must comply with the Code of Audit Practice.

Consultation – New Code of Audit Practice from 2020

Schedule 6 of the Act requires that the Code be reviewed, and revisions considered at least every five years. The current Code came into force on 1 April 2015, and the maximum five-year lifespan of the Code means it now needs to be reviewed and a new Code laid in Parliament in time for it to come in to force no later than 1 April 2020.

In order to determine what changes might be appropriate, the NAO consulted on potential changes to the Code in two stages:

Stage 1 involved engagement with key stakeholders and public consultation on the issues that are considered to be relevant to the development of the Code.

The NAO received a total of 41 responses to the consultation which included positive feedback on the two-stage approach to developing the Code that has been adopted. The NAO stated that they considered carefully the views of respondents in respect of the points drawn out from the [Issues paper](#) and this informed the development of the draft Code. A summary of the responses received to the questions set out in the [Issues paper](#) can be found below.

[Local audit in England Code of Audit Practice – Consultation Response \(pdf – 256KB\)](#)

Stage 2 of the consultation involved consulting on the draft text of the new Code. To support stage 2, the NAO published a consultation document, which highlighted the key changes to each chapter of the draft Code. The most significant changes are in relation to the Value for Money arrangements. The draft Code includes three specific criteria that auditors must consider:

- Financial sustainability: how the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;
- Governance: how the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and
- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: how the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.


The auditor will be required to provide a commentary on the arrangements in place to secure value for money. Where significant weaknesses are identified the auditor should make recommendations setting out

- Their judgement on the nature of the weakness identified
- The evidence on which their view is based
- The impact on the local body
- The action the body needs to take to address the weakness

The consultation document and a copy of the new Code can be found on the NAO website. The new Code will apply from audits of local bodies' 2020-21 financial statements onwards.

Link to NAO webpage for the new Code:

https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/wp-content/uploads/sites/29/2020/01/Code_of_audit_practice_2020.pdf



Financial Reporting Council – Summary of key developments for 2019/20 annual reports

On 30 October the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) wrote an Open Letter to Company Audit Committee Chairs. Some of the points are relevant to local authorities.

The reporting environment

The FRC notes that, “In times of uncertainty, whether created by political events, general economic conditions or operational challenges, investors look for greater transparency in corporate reports to inform their decision-making. We expect companies to consider carefully the detail provided in those areas of their reports which are exposed to heightened levels of risk; for example, descriptions of how they have approached going concern considerations, the impact of Brexit and all areas of material estimation uncertainty.” These issues equally affect local authorities, and the Statement of Accounts or Annual Report should provide readers with sufficient appropriate information on these topics.

Critical judgements and estimates

The FRC wrote “More companies this year made a clear distinction between the critical judgements they make in preparing their accounts from those that involve the making of estimates and which lead to different disclosure requirements. However, some provided insufficient disclosures to explain this area of their reporting where a particular judgement had significant impact on their reporting; for example, whether a specific investment was a joint venture or a subsidiary requiring consolidation. We will continue to have a key focus on the adequacy of disclosures supporting transparent reporting of estimation uncertainties. An understanding of their sensitivity to changing assumptions is of critical value to investors, giving them clearer insight into the possible future changes in balance sheet values and which can inform their investment decisions.” Critical judgements and estimates also form a crucial part of local authority statements of account, with the distinction often blurred.

IFRS 16 Leases

The FRC letter also comments on the introduction of IFRS 16. Please refer to pages **XX** for more information on this topic.



Financial Reporting



Challenge question:

Will you have the opportunity to review and comment on your authority's statement of accounts before they are published at the end of May?

Financial Reporting Council – aid to Audit Committees in evaluating audit quality


On 19 December the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) issued an update of its Practice Aid to assist audit committees in evaluating audit quality in their assessment of the effectiveness of the external audit process.

The FRC notes that, “The update takes account of developments since the first edition was issued in 2015, including revisions of the UK Corporate Governance Code, the requirement for all Public Interest Entities (PIEs) to conduct a tender at least every 10 years and rotate auditors after at least 20 years, and increasing focus generally on audit quality and the role of the audit committee. It also takes account of commentary from audit committees suggesting how the Practice Aid could be more practical in focus and more clearly presented.

The framework set out in the Practice Aid focuses on understanding and challenging how the auditor demonstrates the effectiveness of key professional judgments made throughout the audit and how these might be supported by evidence of critical auditor competencies. New sections have been added addressing the audit tender process, stressing that high-audit quality should be the primary selection criterion, and matters to cover in audit committee reporting.

As well as illustrating a framework for the audit committee’s evaluation, the Practice Aid sets out practical suggestions on how audit committees might tailor their evaluation in the context of the company’s business model and strategy; the business risks it faces; and the perception of the reasonable expectations of the company’s investors and other stakeholders. These include examples of matters for the audit committee to consider in relation to key areas of audit judgment, and illustrative audit committee considerations in evaluating the auditor’s competencies.

The FRC encourages audit committees to use the Practice Aid to help develop their own approach to their evaluation of audit quality, tailored to the circumstances of their company. Audit committees are encouraged to see their evaluation as integrated with other aspects of their role related to ensuring the quality of the financial statements – obtaining evidence of the quality of the auditor’s judgments made throughout the audit, in identifying audit risks, determining materiality and planning their work accordingly, as well as in assessing issues.”



The Practice Aid can be obtained from the FRC website:

<https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/68637e7a-8e28-484a-aec2-720544a172ba/Audit-Quality-Practice-Aid-for-Audit-Committees-2019.pdf>

Implementation of International Financial Reporting Standard 16 *Leases*

IFRS 16 *Leases*, as interpreted and adapted for the public sector, will be effective from 1 April 2020.

Background

IFRS 16 *Leases* was issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) in January 2016 and is being applied by HM Treasury in the Government Financial Reporting Manual from 1 April 2020. Implementation of the Standard will be included in the *Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom* (the Code) for 2020/21.

The new Standard replaces the current leasing standard IAS 17 and related interpretation documents IFRIC 4, SIC 15 and SIC 27 and it sets out the principles for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of leases. The IASB published IFRS 16 because it was aware that the previous lease accounting model was criticised for failing to provide a faithful representation of leasing transactions.

Impact on 2019/20 financial statements

Whilst the new Standard is effective from 1 April 2020, authorities are required by the Code to *'disclose information relating to the impact of an accounting change that will be required by a new standard that has been issued but not yet adopted'*. This requirement of the Code (3.3.4.3) reflects the requirements of paragraph 30 of IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*.

In the 2019/20 financial statements we would therefore expect to see authorities make disclosures including:

- the title of the Standard
- the date of implementation
- the fact that the modified retrospective basis of transition is to be applied, with transition adjustments reflected through opening reserves
- known or reasonably estimable information relevant to assessing the possible impact that application will have on the entity's financial statements, including the impact on assets, liabilities, reserves, classification of expenditure and cashflows
- the basis for measuring right of use assets on transition
- the anticipated use of recognition exemptions and practical expedients recognising that what is sufficient disclosure for one body may not be sufficient for another

Information needed for 2019/20 financial statements

In order to make disclosures in 2019/20, a significant amount of data will be needed, most significantly:

- a complete list of leases previously identified under IAS 17 and IFRIC 4
- details of non-cancellable lease terms, purchase options, extension and termination options
- details of lease arrangements at peppercorn or NIL rental
- anticipated future cash flows and implicit interest rates or incremental borrowing rates to enable calculation of lease liabilities

Audit work on IFRS 16 transition

At this stage, we would expect you to have:

- determined whether the impact of IFRS 16 will be material for your authority
- raised awareness of the new Standard across the authority, potentially including procurement, estates, legal and IT departments
- assessed the completeness and accuracy of your lease register and taken action if necessary
- formalised and signed existing lease documentation
- identified leases of low value assets and leases with short terms
- considered whether liaison with valuation experts is necessary
- started to draft your 2019/20 disclosure note
- started to embed processes to capture the data necessary to manage the ongoing accounting implications of IFRS 16

and that you are monitoring progress against an approved IFRS 16 implementation plan. Your local engagement team will be in touch to discuss your progress with IFRS 16 implementation and audit working paper requirements.

Implementation of International Financial Reporting Standard 16 *Leases*

Further information and guidance

CIPFA published their 2020/21 Code consultation on 12 July 2019, including an Appendix concerned with IFRS 16 implementation, further details can be found at:

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/consultations-archive/code-of-practice-on-local-authority-accounting-in-the-united-kingdom-202021?crdm=0>

HM Treasury published IFRS 16 Application Guidance in December 2019 which can be found at:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/853238/IFRS_16_Application_Guidance_December_2019.pdf

CIPFA's IFRS 16 'Early guide for local authority practitioners' is available at:

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/i/ifrs-16-leases-an-early-guide-for-local-authority-practitioners>

IFRS 16 has been adopted a year earlier in the commercial sector. The Financial Reporting Council has published an IFRS 16 Thematic Review '*Review of Interim Disclosures in the First Year of Application*', containing key findings from their review and providing helpful insights into important disclosure requirements. The FRC's publication is available at:

<https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/a0e7c6e7-67d0-40fe-b869-e5cc589afe79/IFRS-16-thematic-review-2019-optimised.pdf>.

Financial Reporting



Challenge question:

Does your authority have a project plan in place in relation to IFRS 16 *Leases* implementation?

Is your authority's progress against the project plan on track?

What is the future for local audit?

Paul Dossett, Head of local government at Grant Thornton, has written in the Municipal Journal “Audit has been a hot topic of debate this year and local audit is no exception. With a review into the quality of local audit now ongoing, it’s critical that part of this work looks at the overarching governance and management of the audit regime. We believe there is a strong need for new oversight arrangements if the local audit regime is to remain sustainable and effective in the future.”

Paul goes on to write “Local (local authority and NHS) audit has been a key part of the oversight regime for public services for more than a century. The National Audit Office (NAO) has exercised this role in central government for several generations and their reporting to Parliament via the Public Accounts Committee is a key part of the public spending accountability framework.

Local audit got a significant boost with the creation of the Audit Commission in 1983 which provided a coordinated, high profile focus on local government and (from 1990) NHS spending and performance at a local level. Through undertaking value for money reviews and maintaining a tight focus on the generational governance challenges, such as rate capping in the 1980s and service governance failings in the 1990s, the Commission provided a robust market management function for the local audit regime. Local audit fees, appointments, scope, quality and relevant support for auditors all fell within their ambit.

However, the Commission was ultimately deemed, among other things, to be too expensive and was abolished in 2010, as part of the Coalition Government’s austerity saving plans. While the regime was not perfect, and the sector had acknowledged that reform of the Commission was needed, complete abolition was not the answer.

Since then, there has been no body with complete oversight of the local audit regime and how it interacts with local public services. The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government; Department of Health; NHS; NAO; Local Government Association (LGA); Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA); the Financial Reporting Council (FRC); the Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy (CIPFA), audit firms and the audited bodies themselves all have an important role to play but, sometimes, the pursuit of individual organisational objectives has resulted in sub-optimal and even conflicting outcomes for the regime overall.

These various bodies have pursued separate objectives in areas such as audit fee reduction, scope of work, compliance with commercial practice, earlier reporting deadlines and mirroring commercial accounting conventions – to name just a few.

This has resulted in a regime that no stakeholder is wholly satisfied with and one that does not ensure local audit is providing a sufficiently robust and holistic oversight of public spending.

To help provide a more cohesive and co-ordinated approach within the sector, we believe that new oversight arrangements should be introduced. These would have ultimate responsibility for ensuring the sustainability of the local audit regime and that its component parts – including the Audit Code, regulation, market management and fees – interact in an optimal way. While these arrangements do not need to be another Audit Commission, we need to have a strategic approach to addressing the financial sustainability challenges facing local government and the NHS, the benchmarking of performance and the investigation of governance failings.

There are a number of possible solutions including:

- 1) The creation of a new arm’s length agency with a specific remit for overseeing and joining up local audit. It would provide a framework to ensure the sustainability of the regime, covering fees, appointments, and audit quality. The body would also help to create a consistent voice to government and relevant public sector stakeholders on key issues arising from the regime. Such a body would need its own governance structure drawn from the public sector and wider business community; and
- 2) Extending the current remit of the NAO. Give it total oversight of the local audit regime and, in effect, establish a local audit version of the NAO, with all the attendant powers exercised in respect of local audit. In this context, there would be a need to create appropriate governance for the various sectors, similar to the Public Accounts Committee.

While the detail of the new arrangements would be up for debate, it’s clear that a new type of oversight body, with ultimate responsibility for the key elements of local audit, is needed. It would help to provide much-needed cohesion across the sector and between its core stakeholders.

The online article is available here:

<https://www.themj.co.uk/What-is-the-future-for-audit/214769>

Grant Thornton's Sustainable Growth Index Report

Grant Thornton has launched the Sustainable Growth Index (formerly the Vibrant Economy Index) – now in its third year. The Sustainable Growth Index seeks to define and measure the components that create successful places. Our aim in establishing the Index was to create a tool to help frame future discussions between all interested parties, stimulate action and drive change locally. We have undergone a process of updating the data for English Local Authorities on our online, interactive tool, and have produced an updated report on what the data means. All information is available on our online hub, where you can read the new report and our regional analyses.

The Sustainable Growth Index provides an independent, data-led scorecard for each local area that provides:

- businesses with a framework to understand their local economy and the issues that will affect investment decisions both within the business and externally, a tool to support their work with local enterprise partnerships, as well as help inform their strategic purpose and CSR plans in light of their impact on the local social and economic environment
- policy-makers and place-shapers with an overview of the strengths, opportunities and challenges of individual places as well as the dynamic between different areas
- Citizens with an accessible insight into how their place is doing, so that they can contribute to shaping local discussions about what is important to them

The Index shows the 'tip of the iceberg' of data sets and analysis our public services advisory team can provide our private sector clients who are considering future locations in the UK, or wanting to understand the external drivers behind why some locations perform better than others.

Our study looks at over 50 indicators to evaluate all the facets of a place and where they excel or need to improve.

Our index is divided into six baskets. These are:

- 1 Prosperity
- 2 Dynamism and opportunity
- 3 Inclusion and equality
- 4 Health, wellbeing and happiness
- 5 Resilience and sustainability
- 6 Community trust and belonging

This year's index confirms that cities have a consistent imbalance between high scores related to prosperity, dynamism and opportunity, and low scores for health, wellbeing, happiness inclusion and equality. Disparity between the richest and poorest in these areas represents a considerable challenge for those places.

Inclusion and equality remains a challenge for both highly urban and highly rural places and coastal areas, particularly along the east coast from the North East to Essex and Kent, face the most significant challenges in relation to these measures and generally rank below average.

Creating sustainable growth matters and to achieve this national policy makers and local authorities need to do seven things:

- 1 Ensure that decisions are made on the basis of robust local evidence.
- 2 Focus on the transformational trends as well as the local enablers
- 3 Align investment decisions to support the creation of sustainable growth
- 4 Align new funding to support the creation of sustainable growth
- 5 Provide space for innovation and new approaches
- 6 Focus on place over organisation
- 7 Take a longer-term view

The online report is available here:

<https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/sustainable-growth-index-how-does-your-place-score/>



Institute for Fiscal Studies – English local government funding: trends and challenges in 2019 and beyond

The Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) has found “The 2010s have been a decade of major financial change for English local government. Not only have funding levels – and hence what councils can spend on local services – fallen significantly; major reforms to the funding system have seen an increasing emphasis on using funding to provide financial incentives for development via initiatives such as the Business Rates Retention Scheme (BRRS) and the New Homes Bonus (NHB).”

The IFS goes on to report “Looking ahead, increases in council tax and additional grant funding from central government mean a boost to funding next year – but what about the longer term, especially given plans for further changes to the funding system, including an expansion of the BRRS in 2021–22?”

This report, the first of what we hope will be an annual series of reports providing an up-to-date analysis of local government, does three things in this context. First, it looks in detail at councils’ revenues and spending, focusing on the trends and choices taken over the last decade. Second, it looks at the outlook for local government funding both in the short and longer term. And third, it looks at the impact of the BRRS and NHB on different councils’ funding so far, to see whether there are lessons to guide reforms to these policies.

The report focuses on those revenue sources and spending areas over which county, district and single-tier councils exercise real control. We therefore exclude spending on police, fire and rescue, national park and education services and the revenues specifically for these services. When looking at trends over time, we also exclude spending on and revenues specifically for public health, and make some adjustments to social care spending to make figures more comparable across years. Public health was only devolved to councils in 2013–14, and the way social care spending is organised has also changed, with councils receiving a growing pot of money from the NHS to help fund services.”

The IFS reports a number of key facts and figures, including

- 1) Cuts to funding from central government have led to a 17% fall in councils’ spending on local public services since 2009–10 – equal to 23% or nearly £300 per person.
- 2) Local government has become increasingly reliant on local taxes for revenues.
- 3) Councils’ spending is increasingly focused on social care services – now 57% of all service budgets.

The IFS report is available on their website below:

<https://www.ifs.org.uk/publications/14563>



CIPFA Financial Resilience Index

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy's (CIPFA) Financial Resilience Index is a comparative tool designed to provide analysis on resilience and risk and support good financial management.

CIPFA note "The index shows a council's position on a range of measures associated with financial risk. The selection of indicators has been informed by the extensive financial resilience work undertaken by CIPFA over the past four years, public consultation and technical stakeholder engagement. The index is made up of a set of indicators. These indicators take publicly available data and compare similar authorities across a range of factors. There is no single overall indicator of financial risk, so the index instead highlights areas where additional scrutiny should take place in order to provide additional assurance. This additional scrutiny should be accompanied by a narrative to place the indicator into context."

At the launch of the index in December, CIPFA commented "the index analyses council finances using a suite of nine measures including level of reserves, rate of depletion of reserves, external debt, Ofsted judgements and auditor value for money assessments."

CIPFA found that against these indicators the majority of councils are not showing signs of stress. But around 10% show "some signs of potential risk to their financial stability."



The Financial Resilience tool is available on the CIPFA website below:

<https://www.cipfa.org/services/financial-resilience-index/>

Financial Resilience

Challenge question:

Has your Authority used the CIPFA index and fed back the key messages?

